

Dzerzhyn District Court of Kharkiv RESOLVED

Case No. 638/5519/23

Proceedings No. 1-kp/638/1372/23

Ukhvalaimenem of Ukraine

On September 12, 2023, the Dzerzhynsky District Court of Kharkiv in the composition of:

the presiding judge with the participation of: the secretary of the court session, the prosecutor, the accused's defender, the translator - PERSON_1 , -PERSON_2 , -PERSON_3 , -Lira Lopez PERSON_4 , - PERSON_5 , -PERSON_6 ,

having considered in a court session in the courtroom in Kharkiv the petition of the prosecutor of the Kharkiv Regional Prosecutor's Office PERSON_3 to extend the term of the preventive measure in the form of detention in criminal proceedings entered in the Unified Register of Pretrial Investigations under No. 22022220000000618 of April 12, 2022, regarding the accused

PERSON_7 , INFORMATION_1 , a citizen of the Republic of Chile and the Federal Republic of the United States of America, a native of Los Angeles, California, United States of America, married, officially unemployed, who has two children, actually lives at the address: ADDRESS_1 , not previously convicted,

in the commission of criminal offenses provided for in Part 2 of Art. 436-2, Part 3 of Art. 436-2 of the Criminal Code of Ukraine,-

INSTALLED:

Since June 7, 2023, in the proceedings of the judge of the Dzerzhinsky District Court of Kharkiv PERSON_1, there is an indictment in criminal proceedings entered in the Unified Register of Pretrial Investigations under No. 22022220000000618 dated April 12, 2022 against PERSON_7, who is accused of committing criminal offenses provided for in Part 2 Art. 436-2, Part 3 of Art. 436-2 of the Criminal Code of Ukraine.

On September 12, 2023, at a court hearing, the prosecutor filed a motion to extend the preventive measure in the form of detention for a period of 60 days, without setting bail.

The petition is based on the fact that PERSON_7 is accused of committing a criminal offense - a crime provided for in Part 2 of Article 436-2 of the Criminal Code of Ukraine, that is, in the production and distribution of materials containing justification, recognition as legitimate, denial of the armed aggression of the Russian Federation against Ukraine, launched in 2014, as well as the justification, recognition as legitimate of the temporary occupation of part of the territory of Ukraine and in the commission of a criminal offense - the crime provided for in Part 3 of Article 436-2 of the Criminal Code of Ukraine, i.e. in the production and distribution of materials containing the justification, recognition as legitimate, denial of the armed aggression of the Russian Federation against Ukraine, which began in 2014, including by presenting the armed aggression of the Russian Federation against

Ukraine as an internal civil conflict, justification, recognition of the lawful temporary occupation of a part of the territory of Ukraine, as well as justification, recognition of the lawful temporary occupation of a part of the territory of Ukraine, glorification of persons who carried out the armed aggression of the Russian Federation against Ukraine, which began in 2014, and committed repeatedly.

By the decision of the investigating judge of the Kyiv District Court. Kharkiv dated May 1, 2023, PERSON_7 was placed in custody until June 29, 2023, with a bail amount of UAH 402,600.00, imposed for a period of two months with the following obligations: to appear before the investigator, the prosecutor, the court at the first summons; not to leave the city of Kharkiv without the permission of the investigator, prosecutor or court; notify the investigator, prosecutor or court about a change of residence at the address: ADDRESS_1; carry an electronic device with a contra.

The ruling of the Kharkiv Court of Appeal dated May 30, 2023 left unchanged the ruling of the investigative judge of the Kyiv District Court of Kharkiv dated May 1, 2023.

The decision of the Dzerzhinsky District Court of Kharkiv dated June 26, 2023 extended the preventive measure in the form of detention, chosen in relation to the accused PERSON_7, until August 24, 2023, with the possibility of applying an alternative preventive measure in the form of bail, in the amount specified in the decision of the investigating judge of the Kyiv District Court of Kharkiv from May 1, 2023, reviewed by the Kharkiv Court of Appeal, namely in the amount of UAH 402,600.00. Upon payment of a specified amount of bail, PERSON_7 shall be released from custody and assigned the following duties for a period of two months: to appear before the investigator, the prosecutor, the court at the first summons; not to leave the city of Kharkiv without the permission of the investigator, prosecutor or court; notify the investigator, prosecutor or the court of a change of residence at the following address: ADDRESS_1; carry an electronic device backwards; to deposit with the relevant state authorities a passport for traveling abroad or other documents that grant the right to travel abroad.

The accused posted bail, in connection with which he was released from custody on July 6, 2023.

By the decision of the Dzerzhinsky District Court of Kharkiv dated August 4, 2023, the deposit in the amount of UAH 402,600.00, paid by the accused based on the decision of the investigative judge of the Kyiv District Court of Kharkiv dated May 1, 2023, was transferred to the state revenue and credited to the special fund of the State Budget of Ukraine. of the year reviewed by the Kharkiv Court of Appeal in case No. 953/2692/23. A preventive measure in the form of detention until October 2, 2023 without determining the amount of bail was chosen for the accused PERSON_7, INFORMATION_1.

The decision of the Dzerzhinsky District Court of Kharkiv dated August 4, 2023 was left unchanged by the court of appeal.

The prosecutor supported the demands of the petition and asked to be satisfied. He noted that only a preventive measure in the form of detention can ensure proper procedural behavior of the accused, the risks have not ceased to exist and have not decreased.

Lira OSOBA_8 objected to the counter-satisfaction of the petition at the court hearing, referring to the lack of evidence of the risks declared by the prosecutor.

The defender of the accused PERSON_5 objected to the granting of the petition at the court hearing, referring to the fact that the prosecutor did not prove the stated risks, incorrectly classified the criminal offense. Considering that, he asked to apply a preventive measure to the accused in the form of house arrest with the use of electronic means of control.

When deciding the question of the expediency of extending the term of a preventive measure in the form of detention, the court is guided by the following.

In accordance with part 1, 3 of article 331 of the Criminal Code of Ukraine, during the trial, the court, at the request of the prosecution or the defense, has the right to change, cancel, choose or extend the preventive measure against the accused. If there are motions, during the trial, the court is obliged to consider the question of the feasibility of extending the preventive measure before the end of the two-month period from the day of its application. Based on the results of consideration of the issue, the court, by its reasoned decision, cancels, changes the preventive measure or extends its effect for a period that cannot exceed two months. A copy of the decision is handed to the accused, the prosecutor and sent to the authorized official at the place of imprisonment.

According to Part 2 of Art. 331 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Ukraine, the decision of the court regarding the preventive measure is made in accordance with the procedure provided for in Chapter 18 of this Code.

According to part 4 of Art. 199 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Ukraine, the court is obliged to consider the petition for the extension of the term of detention until the expiration of the previous decision in accordance with the rules provided for the consideration of petitions for the application of a preventive measure.

According to Part 3 of Art. 199 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Ukraine, in addition to the information specified in Article 184 of this Code, a request for extension of the term of detention must contain: 1) a statement of circumstances that indicate that the declared risk has not decreased or new risks have appeared that justify the detention of the person in custody; 2) a statement of the circumstances that prevent the completion of the pre-trial investigation before the expiration of the previous decision on detention.

The fifth part of Art. 199 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Ukraine stipulates that the court is obliged to refuse to extend the term of detention, if the prosecutor or investigator does not prove that the circumstances specified in the third part of this article justify the further detention of the suspect, the accused.

Thus, the reason for continuing to keep a person in custody is, in particular, establishing that the declared risk has not decreased or new risks have appeared that justify keeping a person in custody.

According to the materials of the criminal proceedings, by the decision of the investigating judge of the Kyiv District Court. Kharkiv dated May 1, 2023, PERSON_7 was placed in custody until June 29, 2023, with a bail amount of UAH 402,600.00.

Choosing the term of the preventive measure in the form of detention, the investigating judge took into account that PERSON_7 may hide from the authorities of the pre-trial investigation or the court, destroy, hide or distort any of the things or documents that are of significant importance for establishing the circumstances of the criminal offense, commit another criminal offense.

The ruling of the Kharkiv Court of Appeal dated May 30, 2023 left unchanged the ruling of the investigative judge of the Kyiv District Court of Kharkiv dated May 1, 2023.

The appellate court at the stage of the pre-trial investigation established the validity of the suspicion, the presence of the above-mentioned risks, resolved the question of the legality of the choice of an alternative preventive measure in the form of bail and its size by the investigating judge.

The accused posted bail, in connection with which he was released from custody on July 6, 2023.

By the decision of the Dzerzhinsky District Court of Kharkiv dated August 4, 2023, the deposit in the amount of UAH 402,600.00, paid by the accused based on the decision of the investigative judge of the Kyiv District Court of Kharkiv dated May 1, 2023, was transferred to the state revenue and credited to the special fund of the State Budget of Ukraine. of the year reviewed by the Kharkiv Court of Appeal in case No. 953/2692/23. A preventive measure in the form of detention until October 2, 2023 without determining the amount of bail was chosen for the accused PERSON_7, INFORMATION_1.

According to Clause 4 Part 2 of Art. 183 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Ukraine preventive measure in the form of detention cannot be applied, except to a previously unconvicted person who is suspected or accused of committing a crime for which the law provides for a punishment of imprisonment for a term of more than five years.

Lira OSOBA_8 is accused of committing a minor and a serious crime, punishable by imprisonment for a term of up to five years and imprisonment for a term of five to eight years.

The court established that PERSON_7 is married, but is in the process of divorce, has two minor children from 2014 and 2015, is officially unemployed, is not registered with a psychiatrist or narcologist, has no disabilities, any movable or immovable property in the territory of Ukraine or he has no other state, he lives in Kharkiv in an apartment that belongs to him with the right of use, he has been living in Ukraine since 2017, the children are citizens of Ukraine, they live with their mother in Uzhhorod.

As can be seen from the conclusions regarding the application of legal norms, set out, in particular, in the decisions of the Supreme Court dated 20.06.2019 in case No. 166/313/17, dated 13.08.2020 in case No. 674/1202/19, dated 27.02.2019 in case No. 0503/10653/2012, the awareness of the probability of a person's guilty plea to the charge brought against him and the pressure of the burden of possible punishment are circumstances that indicate the existence of a risk of hiding from the court and are grounds for the application and continuation of a preventive measure in the form of detention.

Taking into account the identity of the accused PERSON_7, the severity of the punishment that he faces in case of his conviction, the absence of an official source of income and any property on the right of ownership, the presence of two citizenships of other countries, other circumstances of the criminal proceedings, the court comes to the conclusion that at this stage of the criminal proceedings, the risks of the accused taking the actions provided for in clauses 1, 2, 5, part 1 of Article 177 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Ukraine, which were the basis for selecting a preventive measure in the form of detention in relation to the accused at the stage of pre-trial investigation and which were referred to by the prosecutor in the request to extend the preventive measure in the form of detention, did not decrease, nor did any of the other, milder preventive measures, unable to prevent them.

According to the practice of the European Court of Human Rights, the court with its decision must ensure not only the rights of the accused, but also high standards of protection of general societal rights and interests. Ensuring such standards, as emphasized by the European Court of Human Rights, requires the court to be more strict in assessing violations of society's values.

Yes, according to Art. 7-9 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Ukraine, the criminal procedural legislation of Ukraine is applied taking into account the practice of the European Court of Human Rights.

In the decision on the case "Letelier v. France" dated June 26, 1991, the European Court of Human Rights indicated that the existence of good grounds to suspect the detainee of committing a crime is an indispensable condition for the legality of detention.

In the decision on the case "W v. Switzerland" dated January 26, 1993, the European Court of Human Rights indicated that taking into account the gravity of the crime has its rational meaning, since it indicates the degree of social danger of this person and allows to predict with a sufficiently high degree of probability his behavior, taking into account that a future conviction for a serious crime increases the risk that the suspect/accused may evade investigation.

Taking into account the above, when deciding the issue of extending the preventive measure, the court takes into account the presence of risks provided for in clauses 1, 2, 5, part 1 of Article 177 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Ukraine, as well as assessing the totality of circumstances, namely: the weight of the evidence established by the investigating judge and the appellate court at the stage of the pre-trial investigation about the commission of PERSON_7 criminal offenses (reasonableness of suspicion); the severity of the punishment that threatens him in the event of being found guilty of a serious criminal offense provided for in part 3 of Art. 436-2 of the Criminal Code of Ukraine, data on the identity of the accused, who is not a citizen of Ukraine, can leave the territory of Ukraine without hindrance, does not have property on the territory of Ukraine that belongs to the right of ownership and an official source of income, considers that the application of a milder preventive measure against PERSON_7 is not sufficient to prevent the risks provided for in clauses 1, 2, 5, part 1 of Article 177 of the CPC of Ukraine.

Taking into account the above, in order to ensure the fulfillment of the procedural duties assigned to the accused, to prevent concealment from the court, destruction, concealment or distortion of any of the things or documents that are of significant importance for establishing the circumstances of a criminal offense, the commission of other criminal offenses, the court on at this stage of the court proceedings, considers it expedient to extend the term of the preventive measure in the form of detention in relation to PERSON_7 until November 10, 2023.

Also, taking into account the above, the court comes to the conclusion that at this stage of the court proceedings there are no grounds for changing the preventive measure chosen for the accused.

In addition, the court took into account all grounds and circumstances provided for in Art. 178 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Ukraine, information about the person and available evidence that the accused has committed a criminal offense, the severity of the punishment that threatens him in the event of being found guilty and the circumstances provided for in Art. 177, 178 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Ukraine, according to which the court has the right not to determine the amount of the bail.

According to Part 3 of Art. 183 of the Criminal Code of Ukraine, when passing a decision on the application of a preventive measure in the form of detention, the court is obliged to determine the amount of bail sufficient to ensure that the suspect, accused person fulfills the obligations provided for by this Code, except for the cases provided for in part four of this article.

According to Part 4 of Art. 183 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Ukraine, when passing a decision on the application of a preventive measure in the form of detention, taking into account the grounds and circumstances provided for in Articles 177 and 178 of this Code, the court has the right to determine the amount of bail in criminal proceedings: in relation to a crime committed with the use of violence or threatening use; regarding the crime that caused the death of a person; in relation to a person, in relation to whom a preventive measure in the form of bail was already chosen in this proceeding, but was violated by her; regarding the crime provided for by Articles 255-255 of the Criminal Code of Ukraine; in relation to a particularly serious crime in the sphere of trafficking in narcotic drugs, psychotropic substances, their analogues or precursors. During martial law, the investigating judge, the court, when passing a decision on the application of a preventive measure in the form of detention,

By the decision of the Dzerzhinsky District Court of Kharkiv dated August 4, 2023, it was established, and the prosecutor proved the existence of the circumstances provided for in Part 4 of Art. 183 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Ukraine, which are the legal basis for not determining the amount of bail in this criminal proceeding. Namely, the circumstance provided for in Clause 3, Part 4 of Art. 183 of the CCP of Ukraine

Taking into account the accused's violation of the preventive measure in the form of bail, the court came to the conclusion that there are no grounds for determining the amount of the bail.

The assessment of the specified circumstances indicates that the accused violated the obligations imposed on him by the decision of the Dzerzhynskyi District Court of Kharkiv dated June 26, 2023, that is, preventive measures in the form of bail are not capable of ensuring that the accused fulfills the procedural obligations assigned to him.

Considering the request to extend the preventive measure in the form of detention, the court believes that the preventive measure in the form of house arrest will also not be able to prevent the risks proven by the prosecutor.

According to the results of the analyzed criminal proceedings as a whole, the court came to the conclusion that preventive measures in the form of bail are not capable of ensuring that the accused fulfills the procedural duties assigned to him.

Guided by Art. 34, 183, 314, 331, 369-372 of the CCP of Ukraine,-

RESOLVED:

The request of the prosecutor of the Kharkiv Regional Prosecutor's Office PERSON_3 to extend the term of the pre-trial detention in the form of detention in criminal proceedings, entered in the Unified Register of Pre-trial Investigations under No. 220222220000000618 of April 12, 2022 in respect of PERSON 7, information. 2 Art. 436-2, Part 3 of Art. 436-2 of the Criminal Code of Ukraine - satisfy.

Extend the effect of the preventive measure in the form of detention, chosen in relation to the accused PERSON 7, INFORMATION 1, until November 10, 2023, without determining the amount of bail.

The decision can be appealed in the appeal procedure by submitting an appeal directly to the court of appeal within five days from the day of its announcement. For PERSON_7, the deadline for filing an appeal is calculated from the moment a copy of this decision is delivered to him.

The resolution is subject to immediate execution after its announcement.

Judge PERSON_1

Date of decision	11.09.2023
Published	14.09.2023